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FORM A  Steps taken to implement Article 3 of the Protocol: Clearance, removal or destruction of explosive remnants of war

High Contracting Party: Australia

Reporting for time period from 01 January 2008 to 31 December 2008

Steps taken to implement the provisions of Article 3

During the reporting period, the use of explosive ordnance by the Australian Defence Force (ADF) did not result in Explosive Remnants of War (ERW) or Unexploded Ordnance (UXO).

ERW found by the ADF on operations were identified, documented and destroyed. Conducting a risk assessment of the threat posed by ERW is a component of these operations.

In performing clearance, removal and destruction obligations, the ADF assisted the forces of other nations.

Any other relevant information
FORM B  Steps taken to implement Article 4 of the Protocol: Recording, retaining and transmission of information

High Contracting Party: Australia

Reporting for time period from 01 January 2008 to 31 December 2008

Steps taken to implement the provisions of Article 4 and the Technical Annex

The Australian Defence Force (ADF) tracks and records every use of an explosive ordnance. Detailed electronic reports are maintained by all relevant commands. The ADF provides this information to relevant parties in accordance with the Protocol.

Any other relevant information
FORM C  Steps taken to implement Article 5 of the Protocol: Other precautions for the protection of the civilian population, individual civilians and civilian objects from the risks and effects of explosive remnants of war

High Contracting Party: Australia

Reporting for time period from 01 January 2008 to 31 December 2008

Steps taken to implement the provisions of Article 5 and the Technical Annex

The Australian Defence Force (ADF) exercises a duty of care to all local nationals within any area affected by explosive remnants of war. Warnings, cordonning, evacuation and other safeguards are implemented prior to any recovery or destruction of ERW.

In accordance with the Protocol, the ADF undertook all practicable precautions to protect the civilian population, individual civilians and civilian objects from the risks and effects of ERW in territory under their control.

Risk education is conducted by the ADF to warn local civilians of the dangers of ERW, and advise of reporting processes to enable civilians to report ERW when they are found.

Any other relevant information
FORM D  
Steps taken to implement Article 6 of the Protocol: Provisions for the protection of humanitarian missions and organizations from the effects of explosive remnants of war

High Contracting Party: **Australia**

Reporting for time period from **01 January 2008 to 31 December 2008.**

Steps taken to implement the provisions of Article 6

During the reporting period, the Australian Defence Force (ADF) provided protection against the risk of ERW to humanitarian missions and organisations operating in areas under the control of the ADF.

The ADF also provided information to humanitarian missions and organisations on the location of ERW in areas within the missions’ and organisations’ operations.

Any other relevant information
FORM E  Steps taken to implement Article 7 of the Protocol: Assistance with respect to existing explosive remnants of war

High Contracting Party: Australia

Reporting for time period from 01 January 2008 to 31 December 2008

Steps taken to implement the provisions of Article 7

During the reporting period, the Australian Defence Force (ADF) provided security to other nations’ Explosive Ordnance Disposal Teams in the conduct of their operations. The ADF also provided expertise and assistance to other nations to destroy ERW and/or UXO. Explosive ordnance training courses primarily focussing on engineering and logistic management were also provided under Defence Cooperation Programs.

Specific Instances of assistance

During the reporting period, Op RENDER SAFE provided assistance in dealing with existing ERW in the south-west Pacific region.

The ADF also provided assistance to the Kiribati Islands Police Force for the marking, removal and destruction of World War II ERW through Op KIRIBATI ASSIST. Technical information was exchanged when providing this assistance.

Any other relevant information
FORM F  Steps taken to implement Article 8 of the Protocol: Co-operation and assistance

High Contracting Party: Australia
Reporting for time period from 1 January 2008 to 31 December 2008

The Australian Defence Force (ADF) exchanges information on a regular basis with the Defence establishments of Canada, New Zealand, United Kingdom and the United States as part of the Technical Co-operation Program (TTCP). In relation to ERW and the removal of ERW, this information concerns the capabilities of equipment, procedures employed, and results of tests, trials, exercises and operations. This information allows the participating nations to increase their knowledge of the problems involved with the removal of ERW and improve relevant skills and techniques.

Specific Instances of assistance

During the reporting period, Op RENDER SAFE provided assistance in dealing with existing ERW in the south-west Pacific region.

The ADF also provided assistance to the Kiribati Islands Police Force for the marking, removal and destruction of World War II ERW through Op KIRIBATI ASSIST. Technical information was exchanged when providing this assistance.

Mine action is an important element of Australia’s aid program. AusAID’s Mine Action Strategy has committed $75 million from 2005 to 2010. Australia’s mine action program has a focus on the heavily mine-affected countries of South-East Asia, as well as Afghanistan, Iraq and Lebanon. Under the strategy, Australia spent $23.9 million on mine action in 2008, including on de-mining, survivor assistance, mine risk education and advocacy activities. As a signatory to Protocol V, Australia’s mine action activities address the impact of both landmines and explosive remnants of war.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program area</th>
<th>Funding recipient</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Mine Action Sector</th>
<th>A$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFGHANISTAN</td>
<td>United Nations Mine Action Centre for Afghanistan</td>
<td>Community Clearpath Program</td>
<td>Mine clearance</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMBODIA</td>
<td>Australian Red Cross</td>
<td>Landmine Survivor Assistance Program</td>
<td>Survivor Assistance</td>
<td>1,082,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Austcare</td>
<td>Austcare Integrated Mine Action in Banteay Meanchey</td>
<td>Integrated Mine</td>
<td>644,585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>World Vision &amp; International Women's Development Agency</td>
<td>World Vision Community Strengthening &amp; Gender</td>
<td>Integrated Mine</td>
<td>718,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mainstreaming in Integrated Mine Action</td>
<td>Action/Survivor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CARE Australia</td>
<td>Cambodia Integrated Mine Action</td>
<td>Integrated Mine</td>
<td>691,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>United Nations Development Programme</td>
<td>Clearing for Results</td>
<td>Mine Clearance</td>
<td>3,265,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australian Volunteers International</td>
<td>Strengthening capacity of Mine Action Planning</td>
<td>Mine Action</td>
<td>66,849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Units Program</td>
<td>Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRAQ</td>
<td>United Nations Development Programme</td>
<td>Capacity Development for Clearance and Victim</td>
<td>Mine Clearance</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program area</td>
<td>Funding recipient</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Mine Action Sector</td>
<td>AS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAOS</td>
<td>Swiss Foundation for Mine Action</td>
<td>UXO/Mine Action Program in Laos</td>
<td>Mine Clearance</td>
<td>1,050,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National Regulatory Authority</td>
<td>Support to the National Regulatory Authority</td>
<td>Mine Clearance</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UXO Lao and Mines Advisory Group</td>
<td>Reducing the Burden of UXO in Vulnerable Communities in Khammouane Province</td>
<td>Mine Clearance</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Handicap International Belgium</td>
<td>Integrated UXO Threat Reduction Project</td>
<td>Mine Clearance</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National Rehabilitation Centre and Cooperative for Orthotic and Prosthetic Enterprise</td>
<td>Partnership in Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Survivor Assistance</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CARE Australia</td>
<td>Strengthening Survivor Access to Rehabilitation Services</td>
<td>Survivor Assistance</td>
<td>192,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National Regulatory Authority</td>
<td>East Asia Regional Conference on Convention on Cluster Munitions</td>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td>40,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEBANON</td>
<td>United Nations Mine Action Service</td>
<td>Humanitarian Mine Action Response</td>
<td>Mine Clearance</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEPAL</td>
<td>United Nations Children’s Fund</td>
<td>Support to Mine Risk Education Project</td>
<td>Mine Risk Education</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIETNAM</td>
<td>International Committee of the Red Cross</td>
<td>Special Fund for the Disabled Education Project</td>
<td>Survivor Assistance</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLOBAL</td>
<td>Geneva International Centre for Humanitarian Demining</td>
<td>Mine Ban Convention – Implementation Support Unit</td>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geneva International Centre for Humanitarian Demining</td>
<td>Mine Ban Convention – Sponsorship Program</td>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geneva International Centre for Humanitarian Demining</td>
<td>Victim Assistance Expert Position, ISU, GICHD</td>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td>105,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geneva International Centre for Humanitarian Demining</td>
<td>GICHD – Operational Support Asia Pacific</td>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td>270,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geneva International Centre for Humanitarian Demining</td>
<td>CCW Sponsorship Program</td>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>International Campaign to Ban Landmines – Global Network</td>
<td>Landmine Monitor</td>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>International Campaign to Ban Landmines – Global Network</td>
<td>Universalisation Strategy</td>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td>37,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>United Nations Mine Action Service</td>
<td>Voluntary Trust Fund for Assistance in Mine Action</td>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geneva Call</td>
<td>Asia Program</td>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23,989,088</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Any other relevant information
FORM G  Steps taken to implement Article 9 of the Protocol: Generic preventive measures

High Contracting Party: Australia

Reporting for time period from 01 January 2008 to 31 December 2008.

Steps taken to implement the provisions of Article 9 the Technical Annex

The Australian Defence Force (ADF) maintains Standard Operating Procedures for dealing with ERW outlining marking and reporting procedures. Procedural requirements for transporting and storing ordnance are also specified. These procedures comply with Article 9.

Defence compliance with the technical aspects of this Protocol is also achieved through Technical Regulations. Technical Regulatory Authorities (TRA) are accountable to Service Chiefs and provide appropriate assurance through established regulatory frameworks and auditing compliance within those frameworks. The TRA Council provides technical integrity regulation through established steering groups and working groups. The Defence Explosive Ordnance Committee is an advisory committee that supports Commander Joint Logistics as the single point of accountability for the Defence-wide management of Explosive Ordnance (EO), reporting routinely to the Defence Capability Committee.

Munitions Manufacturing Management and Munitions Management.

Defence mandates and ensures compliance to munitions manufacturing management and munitions management through the regulatory documents listed below.

Future Production

Defence, through the Defence Materiel Organisation, actively researches and seeks to employ methods to achieve the highest possible reliability and safety of EO, by engaging industry and research organisations to enhance the reliability, suitability and safety of munitions.

Any other relevant information

Regulatory Documents:

a. DI(G) LOG 4-1-006—Safety of Explosive Ordnance;

b. DEOP 102(AM1) Technical Integrity of Explosive Ordnance;

c. Defence Instruction (General) (DI(G)) LOG 07–10—Insensitive Munitions;

d. DI(G) LOG 08–15—Regulation of the technical integrity of Australian Defence Force materiel;

e. DI(G) LOG 09–3—The Proof and Experimental Organisation;
f. DI(G) OPS 32–1—*Appropriation and import of Defence related materiel during Australian Defence Force operations*;

g. DI(G) ADMIN 20–2—*Guidelines for the production of effective instructions, manuals and publications*;

h. DI(G) ADMIN 59–1—*Management of Defence Training Areas*;

i. DI(G) ADMIN 63–1—*Management of land affected by unexploded ordnance*;

j. United Nations (UN) Publication ST/SG/AC.10/1—*Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods*;


l. NATO AASTP–2—*Manual of NATO Safety Principles for the Transport of Military Ammunition and Explosives*;

m. NATO AASTP–3—*Manual of NATO Safety Principles for the Hazard Classification of Military Ammunition and Explosives*;

n. United Kingdom Joint Services Publication (JSP) 482—*MOD Explosives Regulations*; and

o. Allied Ordnance Publication (AOP) 15—*Guidance on the Assessment of the Safety and Suitability for Service of Non-Nuclear Munitions for NATO Armed Forces*. 
FORM H    Steps taken to implement Article 11 of the Protocol: Compliance

High Contracting Party: Australia

Reporting for time period from 01 January 2008 to 31 December 2008

Steps taken to implement the provisions of Article 11

The Australian Defence Force (ADF) has issued instructions to operational commands, which comply with the Protocol.

For example:

The ‘Standing Instructions LOG on Explosive Ordnance for Operation CATALYST (Iraq)’, dated 21 November 2007, requires among other things:

   a. Explosive Ordnance (EO) management process to follow current ADF orders and instructions with respect to security, licensed storage and operational life;
   b. All ammunition technical staff to be conversant with ADF policy, orders and instructions as well as all current domestic EO management regulations for the storage, distribution, handling and maintenance of explosive ordnance in the Middle East Area of Operations;
   c. The Senior Ammunition Technical Representative to ensure that EO was stored, transported, managed and disposed of safely by Task Groups in accordance with current ADF legal and policy requirements; and
   d. EO incidents particularly malfunctions, were to be reported on occurrence.

Furthermore, specific Protocol V requirements and procedures for dealing with ERW are covered in predeployment and ongoing training.

Any other relevant information
FORM I  Other relevant matters

High Contracting Party: .................................

Reporting for time period from ............... to ......................

Any other relevant information