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FORM A Steps taken to implement Article 3 of the Protocol: Clearance, removal or destruction of explosive remnants of war

High Contracting Party: **Australia**

Reporting for time period from **01 January 2011 to 31 December 2011**

**Steps taken to implement the provisions of Article 3**

Every ADF Operations Order has an Explosive Remnants of War (ERW) Annex, detailing the requirements for dealing with ERW.

Current ADF operational guidance with regards to Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) and ERW is to pro-actively deal with ERW in theatres of operations even before active hostilities have formally ceased. The intent of this policy is to mitigate the threat posed by UXO, by destroying items as they are found, subject to the tactical situation, rather than dealing with them after the close of active hostilities (as required by Article 3).

While recognising that measuring the actual level of ERW created in an operational environment is difficult, the ADF has a very low rate of malfunctioned ordnance during operations (through advanced ammunition manufacture, quality control mechanisms, detailed logistic planning and storage requirements).

All UXO items found by the ADF on operations in 2011 were identified, documented and where possible destroyed. Risk assessments of the threat posed by the ERW are an integral part of the decision making and disposal process.

**Any other relevant information**
FORM B  Steps taken to implement Article 4 of the Protocol: Recording, retaining and transmission of information

High Contracting Party: Australia

Reporting for time period from 01 January 2011 to 31 December 2011

Steps taken to implement the provisions of Article 4 and the Technical Annex

The Australian Defence Force (ADF) tracks and records every use of explosive ordnance.

Detailed electronic reports, including Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) databases, are maintained by all relevant commands and are populated with information from Australian military forces in the field. This information is then transmitted to ADF command headquarters in the theatre of operations, and back to Australia.

The ADF operational level headquarters in Australia maintains a comprehensive register that includes reporting of Explosive Remnants of War (ERW) incidents. These reports include: the nature, type, quantity and condition of the Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) or Abandoned Explosive Ordnance (AXO); any actions taken to mark, clear, remove and/or destroy these items; their location; and an assessment of the threat posed.

The register also provides detailed information about: reporting steps taken; any future action required to deal with the items; and any warnings issued or risk education provided to military forces or the local community.

Protective equipment, risk education, and information on ERW are also provided when visitors enter ADF areas of operations.

Any other relevant information
FORM C  Steps taken to implement Article 5 of the Protocol: Other precautions for the protection of the civilian population, individual civilians and civilian objects from the risks and effects of explosive remnants of war

High Contracting Party: Australia

Reporting for time period from 01 January 2011 to 31 December 2011

Steps taken to implement the provisions of Article 5 and the Technical Annex

The Australian Defence Force (ADF) exercises a duty of care to all local nationals within any area affected by Explosive Remnants of War (ERW). Warnings, cordonning, evacuation and other safeguards are implemented prior to any recovery or destruction of ERW.

In accordance with the Protocol, the ADF undertakes all practicable precautions to protect the civilian population, individual civilians and civilian objects from the risks and effects of ERW in territory under their control.

Risk education is conducted by the ADF to warn local civilians of the dangers of ERW, and advise of reporting processes to enable civilians to report ERW when they are found.

Any other relevant information
FORM D  Steps taken to implement Article 6 of the Protocol: Provisions for the protection of humanitarian missions and organizations from the effects of explosive remnants of war.

High Contracting Party: **Australia**

Reporting for time period from **01 January 2011 to 31 December 2011**

**Steps taken to implement the provisions of Article 6**

In 2011, the Australian Defence Force (ADF) provided information to humanitarian missions and organisations on the location of Explosive Remnants of War (ERW) within the humanitarian missions’ and organisations’ area of operations. This was the case irrespective of whether the particular area of operations was under the control of the ADF.

**Any other relevant information**
Steps taken to implement Article 7 of the Protocol: Assistance with respect to existing explosive remnants of war

High Contracting Party: Australia

Reporting for time period from 01 January 2011 to 31 December 2011.

Steps taken to implement the provisions of Article 7

In 2011, the Australian Defence Force (ADF) assisted other States’ forces in performing clearance, removal, and destruction of existing Explosive Remnants of War (ERW) from previous conflicts. The Australian Defence Organisation provided explosive hazards awareness training to Thailand and plans to continue this capacity development training out to 2016. Under OP RENDERSAFE, the ADF conducted clearance, removal, and destruction of ERW in early 2011 in Vanuatu. OP RENDERSAFE was also conducted in late 2011 in Rabaul, Papua New Guinea (PNG) in cooperation with the New Zealand and PNG Defence Forces. The mentoring of PNG Defence Force personnel, public affairs and interaction with the local community was particularly successful.

For the 2011 reporting period the ADF offered Explosive Ordnance training courses to PNG, New Zealand and Malaysia:

   a. Regimental Officer Basic: Royal Australian Engineers training was provided to one PNG Defence Force Officer and three New Zealand Defence Force members.
   b. Demolition Operator training was provided to one Malaysian Officer by the Royal Australian Air Force.
   c. Engineer Officer Armament Specialist training was offered to Malaysia, however these positions were withdrawn due to the revision of course content.
   d. Explosive Ordnance Manager training was offered to Indonesia.

Defence has also provided assistance for African countries to perform clearance, removal and destruction of ERW. In November 2011 Defence hosted a visit by the Director General of the Ethiopian Mine Office, Mr Etsay Gebreselaisse, and sponsored his attendance at an Explosive Ordnance symposium co-hosted by Australia and Thales. Mr Gebreselaisse also visited the ADF Counter-Improvised Explosive Device Task Force and Australian Federal Police Bomb Data Centre to identify further opportunities for information sharing between Australia and Ethiopia. Defence also gifted six EOD Tactical Bomb Suits and 23 Minelab mine detectors to the UN Support Office to the African Union Mission in Somalia in late 2011.

Australia also provided Defence Cooperation Program assistance in the form of specialist equipment to the Royal Solomon Islands Police Force Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) team.

Australia provided assistance to States affected by pre-existing ERW under the Mine Action Strategy, as listed in Form F.

Any other relevant information
   The ADF provides assistance to foreign national forces in the clearance, destruction and neutralisation of ERW. For example:
a. ADF provided training to elements of Afghan National Security Forces. These courses cover the spectrum of explosive ordnance disposal to destruction of ERW.

b. ADF advised UN Police, which in turn either conduct or supervise clearance, training and courses.

c. ADF provided PNG Defence Force (PNGDF) and Vanuatu Mobile Force (VMF) with basic demolitions training with a UXO focus. Members of both PNGDF and VMF were embedded with the EOD detachments and worked in a liaison role with the local population.
FORM F  Steps taken to implement Article 8 of the Protocol: Co-operation and assistance

High Contracting Party: Australia

Reporting for time period from 1 January 2011 to 31 December 2011.

Steps taken to implement the provisions of Article 8

The Australian Defence Force (ADF) exchanges information on a regular basis with the Defence establishments of Canada, New Zealand, United Kingdom and the United States as part of the Technical Co-operation Program (TTCP). In relation to Explosive Remnants of War (ERW) and the removal of ERW, this information concerns the capabilities of equipment, procedures employed, and results of tests, trials, exercises, and operations. This information allows the participating nations to increase their knowledge of the problems involved with the removal of ERW and improve relevant skills and techniques. The ADF also provides a range of technical assistance and training to regional countries affected by ERW, including through cooperative ERW clearance, removal, and destruction activities, as listed below.

Mine action is an important element of Australia’s aid program. Through its Mine Action Strategy for the Australian aid program, Australia has committed $100 million to mine action over the five years from 2010-14. The goal of the strategy is to reduce the threat and socio-economic impact of landmines, cluster munitions and other explosive remnants of war. The intended outcomes are: improved quality of life for victims; reduced number of deaths and injuries; enhanced capacity of countries to manage their mine action programs; and effective leadership and advocacy by Australia on mine action. Australia will focus on assisting significantly affected countries in the Asia-Pacific region, with flexibility to respond to other emerging needs and priorities. In 2011, AUD43,152,544 was provided through AusAID for mine action including de-mining, survivor assistance, mine risk education and advocacy activities. As a party to Protocol V, Australia’s mine action activities address the impact of both landmines and explosive remnants of war.

Mine Action Expenditure 2011

Assistance provided through AusAID

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Implementing Partner</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Mine Action Sector</th>
<th>Amount AUD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>UNMAS</td>
<td>Support to Mine Action Centre of Afghanistan</td>
<td>Clearance, mine risk education and victim assistance</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>ICRC</td>
<td>Azerbaijan vulnerable families victim assistance</td>
<td>Victim assistance</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>Action Aid</td>
<td>Integrated Mine Action and Development in Banteay Meancheay</td>
<td>Clearance, victim assistance</td>
<td>5,311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Implementer/Partner</td>
<td>Project Title</td>
<td>Objective/Intervention Area</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Support for the 11 Meeting of State Parties</td>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>UNMAS</td>
<td>Emergency response mine risk education</td>
<td>Risk education</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRC</td>
<td>UNMAS</td>
<td>Support to Mine clearance and victim assistance programmes in the DRC</td>
<td>Clearance and victim assistance</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Mine action in support of the North West Coast National Development Plan</td>
<td>Clearance</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Mine Action in the Tigray, Afar and Somali regions</td>
<td>Clearance</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>NATO</td>
<td>Explosive remnants of war clearance in Georgia</td>
<td>Clearance and victim assistance</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea Bissau</td>
<td>UNMAS</td>
<td>Supporting BCPR’s Completion Initiative in Guinea-Bissau</td>
<td>Clearance</td>
<td>349,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Mine Action operational capacity development</td>
<td>Clearance</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td>Protecting children in Iraq from injuries related to landmines and other explosives</td>
<td>Mine risk education</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>National Committee for Demining and Clearance</td>
<td>Northern border mine clearance</td>
<td>Clearance</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laos</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Support to quality management of the UXO sector</td>
<td>Risk education, advocacy and capacity building</td>
<td>1,247,494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laos</td>
<td>CARE</td>
<td>Reducing UXO risk and improving livelihoods of ethnic communities in Sekong province</td>
<td>clearance and risk education</td>
<td>323,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laos</td>
<td>World Vision</td>
<td>Integrated UXO action in Khammouane province</td>
<td>Clearance and risk education</td>
<td>352,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laos</td>
<td>COPE</td>
<td>Sustainable rehabilitation services in Laos</td>
<td>Victim assistance, capacity building</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laos</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Support to clearance operations of the Lao National UXO Program</td>
<td>Clearance, risk education and capacity building</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>Mines Advisory Group</td>
<td>Battle area clearance</td>
<td>clearance</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>Norwegian Peoples Aid</td>
<td>Battle area clearance</td>
<td>clearance</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>UNMAS</td>
<td>Support to the UNMAS emergency response in Libya</td>
<td>Clearance and risk education</td>
<td>3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>UNDP support to mine action</td>
<td>clearance</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Mines Advisory Group</td>
<td>Mine action survey and clearance in northern and eastern Sri Lanka</td>
<td>clearance</td>
<td>1,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Danish Demining Group</td>
<td>Emergency mine survey and clearance in support of return and resettlement of IDPs in northern Sri Lanka</td>
<td>clearance</td>
<td>900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Delvon</td>
<td>Demining using metal detectors in Lullaitivu and Kilinochchi districts</td>
<td>Clearance</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td>Support to mine risk education, victim assistance and advocacy in Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Risk education, victim assistance and advocacy</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Mines Advisory Group</td>
<td>Integrated humanitarian action in Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Clearance, management and capacity building</td>
<td>1,660,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Swiss Foundation for Demining</td>
<td>Mine action intervention in support of return and early recovery activities in the northern province of Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Clearance</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Delvon</td>
<td>Demining using metal detectors in Lullaitivu and Kilinochchi districts</td>
<td>Clearance</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Sudan</td>
<td>UNMAS</td>
<td>Humanitarian mine clearance, mine risk education and victim assistance in support of peace building in South Sudan</td>
<td>Clearance, risk education and victim assistance</td>
<td>1,001,993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tajikistan</td>
<td>UNMAS</td>
<td>Support the efficiency and effectiveness of the Tajikistan Mine Action Program</td>
<td>Capacity building, management, victim assistance and risk education</td>
<td>148,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Danish Demining Group</td>
<td>Completion of landmine clearance</td>
<td>Clearance</td>
<td>1,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda and DRC</td>
<td>Handicap International</td>
<td>Victim assistance and mine risk education</td>
<td>Victim assistance and risk education</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>ICRC</td>
<td>Special Fund for the Disabled</td>
<td>Victim assistance</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>GICHD</td>
<td>Core support to GICHD and the APMBC ISU</td>
<td>Core support, research, evaluation, gender and victim assistance</td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>Geneva Call</td>
<td>Reducing the threat and impact of landmines</td>
<td>Universalisation and advocacy</td>
<td>209,161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>ICBL</td>
<td>Landmine and cluster munition monitor</td>
<td>Universalisation and advocacy</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>ICRC</td>
<td>Special Fund for the Disabled</td>
<td>Victim assistance</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>ICRC</td>
<td>Special Mine Action Appeal</td>
<td>Victim assistance, clearance and risk education</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>Support for the Presidency and the Second Meeting of the Cluster Munitions Convention</td>
<td>Mine action management, capacity building and advocacy</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>UNMAS</td>
<td>Core support to UNMAS for UN coordination</td>
<td>Advocacy, management and universalisation</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assistance provided through the Australian Defence Force**

Ethiopia – Ethiopian Mine Office – Visit by Mr. Etsay Gebreselaisse – Capacity Building – AUD5,168
Papua New Guinea – Australian Defence Force – Management and clearance of UXO – Mine clearance
Any other relevant information
FORM G  Steps taken to implement Article 9 of the Protocol: Generic preventive measures

High Contracting Party: Australia

Reporting for time period from 01 January 2011 to 31 December 2011.

Steps taken to implement the provisions of Article 9, the Technical Annex

The Australian Defence Force (ADF) maintains Standard Operating Procedures for dealing with Explosive Remnants of War (ERW) – such as marking, reporting, transporting, and storing ordnance. These procedures comply with Article 9.

The ADF has developed and implemented procedures to minimize the occurrence of ERW, and will continue to improve these procedures into the future. Current ADF procedures include, but are not limited to: training for all personnel in the Law of Armed Conflict; and training of specific personnel in Explosive Ordnance Disposal and standard operating procedures that reduce risk to personnel (including civilians) and the environment.

Defence compliance with the technical aspects of this Protocol is achieved through Technical Regulations. Technical Regulatory Authorities (TRA) are accountable to Service Chiefs and provide appropriate assurance through established regulatory frameworks and auditing compliance within those frameworks. The TRA provides technical integrity regulation through regular compliance audit, ongoing liaison and established steering groups and working groups.

The Explosive Ordnance Assurance Board (EOAB) provides the Vice Chief of the Defence Force with assurance of appropriate Explosive Ordnance (EO) safety management in Defence. The EOAB is Chaired by the Director General Explosive Ordnance (D GEO) in Joint Logistics Command, with Air Force taking the lead TRA role. The EOAB provides a key technical compliance assurance mechanism for introducing EO into Service, and for sustainment of in-Service EO. The EOAB is also responsible for reviewing ADF compliance with EO safety policy. During the 2011 reporting period, EOAB convened six scheduled meetings and three out-of-session meetings.

The Defence Explosive Ordnance Committee continues as the senior advisory committee supporting the Commander Joint Logistics as the single point of accountability for the Defence-wide management of EO, reporting routinely to the Defence Capability Committee.

Defence, through the Defence Materiel Organisation, undertakes and commissions research as well as employing procedures in order to obtain the highest possible reliability and safety of EO. This is achieved by ongoing engagement with industry and research organisations, as well as by the work undertaken by EO specialists within the Defence Materiel Organisation and other parts of the Defence.

Any other relevant information

Defence mandates, and ensures compliance to, munitions manufacturing management and munitions management through the regulatory documents listed below.

Regulatory Documents:

a. DI(G) LOG 4-1-006 Safety of Explosive Ordnance;

b. DEOP 102 (AM1) Technical Integrity of Explosive Ordnance;
c. DI(G) LOG4-3-010  *Insensitive Munitions*;
d. DI(G) LOG 4-5-012 *Regulation of the technical integrity of Australian Defence Force materiel*;
e. DI(G) LOG 4-1-010—*The Proof and Experimental Organisation*;
f. DI(G) OPS 32–1—*Appropriation and import of Defence related materiel during Australian Defence Force operations*;
g. DI(G) ADMIN 59–1—*Management of Defence Training Areas*;
h. DI(G) ADMIN 63–1—*Management of land affected by unexploded ordnance*;
i. United Nations (UN) Publication ST/SG/AC.10/1—*Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods*;
k. NATO AASTP–2—*Manual of NATO Safety Principles for the Transport of Military Ammunition and Explosives*;
l. NATO AASTP–3—*Manual of NATO Safety Principles for the Hazard Classification of Military Ammunition and Explosives*;
m. United Kingdom Joint Services Publication (JSP) 482—*MOD Explosives Regulations*;
o. OPSMAN 3 *Safety Principles for the Handling of Explosive Ordnance*
p. DEOP 101 *Defence Explosives Regulations*.
FORM H  Steps taken to implement Article 11 of the Protocol: Compliance

High Contracting Party: Australia

Reporting for time period from 01 January 2011 to 31 December 2011.

Steps taken to implement the provisions of Article 11

The Australian Defence Force (ADF) has issued instructions to operational commands, which comply with the Protocol, namely:

a. that the Explosive Ordnance (EO) management process follow current ADF orders and instructions with respect to security, licensed storage and operational life;

b. all ammunition technical staff to be conversant with ADF policy, orders and instructions as well as all current domestic EO management regulations for the storage, distribution, handling and maintenance of EO;

c. the Senior Ammunition Technical Representative to ensure that EO was stored, transported, managed and disposed of safely by Task Groups in accordance with current ADF legal and policy requirements; and

d. EO incidents, particularly malfunctions, were to be reported on occurrence.

Furthermore, specific Protocol V requirements and procedures for dealing with Explosive Remnants of War (ERW) are covered in pre-deployment and ongoing training. These instructions and procedures are reviewed constantly to ensure their continuing relevance and applicability to current Government direction, including compliance with Protocol V.

Any other relevant information
FORM I  Other relevant matters

High Contracting Party: Australia

Reporting for time period from 01 January 2011 to 31 December 2011.

Any other relevant information