Coordinator: Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill
National Reporting to the CCW on Protocol V

APRIL 2012
The Coordinator with the support of the CCW Implementation Support Unit to provide an assessment from the national reports submitted on the utility of the Guide to National Reporting and the progress in implementing the provisions of Protocol V for the Meeting of Experts in 2012.
Protocol V National Reporting
FORM A: Steps taken to implement Article 3 of the Protocol: Clearance, removal or destruction of explosive remnants of war

High Contracting Party: Name of the reporting State

Reporting for time period from: [dd/mm/yyyy] to [dd/mm/yyyy]

Steps taken to implement the provisions of Article 3:

1. State whether the reporting State exercises control on the territory affected by explosive remnants of war (ERW).

2. IF YES:
   • If known and relevant, describe the cause of the ERW contamination, e.g.:
     • Residual Unexploded Ordnance (UXO).
     • From which armed conflict.
     • From test ranges.
     • Resulting from Abandoned Explosive Ordnance (AXO).

   • Provide the details on the extent of contamination.
     • Describe the geographic locations affected (geographic references).
     • Describe the types of ordnance that constitute the contamination.
     • If known and possible, identify or review of humanitarian risk (possible effects of ERW to civilian population, etc.)

   • If applicable and possible, describe the steps taken to implement the responsibilities set out in Article 3:
     • Describe steps being taken to survey and assess the threat posed by ERW.
     • Describe steps being taken to assess and prioritize the needs and practicability in terms of marking and clearance, removal or destruction of ERW.
     • Describe steps being taken to mark and clear the contaminated areas and destroy ERW.
     • Provide a timeline for the assessment of the threat posed by ERW as well as prioritized efforts to mark, clear and remove or destroy ERW.
     • Describe the steps taken to mobilize resources to carry out activities required to implement Article 3.
Overall Responses to Forms A-I

- **Form A** – Clearance, removal or destruction of ERW (23)
- **Form B** – Recording, retaining, and transmission of information (30)
- **Form C** – Other precautions for the protection of civilians (31)
- **Form D** – Protection of humanitarian missions (22)
- **Form E** – Existing ERW (29)
- **Form F** – Co-operation and assistance (32)
- **Form G** – Generic preventive measures (38)
- **Form H** – Compliance (40)
- **Form I** – Other relevant matters (11)
23 States are either carrying out clearance of ERW or willing to do so. From those 23, the following number of states provided information on the topics specified below:
Reporting on Form B: Recording, retaining, and transmission of information

- 30 States responded positively to Form B.
- From those 30, the following number of states provided information on the topics specified below:
  - Did not assess whether there are laws or regulations in place regarding Article 4.
  - Most common positive answer on Article 4 is that there are procedures in place for recording the use or abandonment of explosive ordnance. This will be addressed under the session on ‘Clearance and Article 4’.

![Bar chart showing the number of states providing information on different aspects of Form B: Established a database with 10 states, Responsibility allocation with 11 states, and Informing of field personnel with 12 states.](chart.png)
Reporting on Form C: Other precautions for the protection of civilians

- 31 – States responded positively to Form C.
  - 27 – identified the measures taken for the protection of the civilian population.
  - 14 – provided details on how the warnings, risk education and other information were provided to civilians.
Reporting on Form D: Protection of humanitarian missions

- 22 – States responded positively to Form D.
  - There was no reporting on any organisations having requested assistance from a State.
  - 11 – States reported on what assistance they had provided in the past or would be willing to provide to humanitarian organisations.
Reporting on **Form E: Existing ERW**

- 29 - States responded positively to Form E.
  - 16 – providing assistance for existing ERW.
  - 18 – reported on the type of assistance being provided.

- Did not assess details of the assistance provided, whether the reporting State requested assistance, specify what type of assistance was requested, and clarify whether the reporting States would be in a position to provide any information regarding resources that it can make available.

- Several States responded positively to this form but would then refer to Form F.
Reporting on Form F: Co-operation and assistance

- 32 States responded positively to Form F

- Possibly 6 States have undertaken work to implement the Victim Assistance Action Plan, but have not reported directly on this matter.

- Did not assess if the States provided assistance for ERW after the entry into force of Protocol V and whether States have provided information to relevant databases on mine action.

- Several States simply refer to an overall figure that they are contributing to mine action.
38 – States responded positively to Form G.

- 30 – described their implementation of Part 3 of the Technical Annex to Protocol V.
- 25 – stated whether their practices were incorporated in national laws or regulations (*included guidelines).
Reporting on Form H: Compliance

- 40 – States responded positively to Form H.
  - 34 – provided information on instructions and operating procedures issued by the armed forces and relevant agencies or departments and on training of its personnel consistent with the relevant provisions of Protocol V.
  - No consultations were undertaken under Protocol V.
Reporting on Form I: Other relevant matters

- 11 – States responded positively to this Form I.
  - Zero – States reported on their point of contact for Protocol V.
  - 10 – provided additional information.